





# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/540,756	03/31/2000	Laura L. Mahan	81395-157	3424
7590 10/27/2003			EXAMINER	
John W Knox		VAUGHN, GREGORY J		
Box 11560 Van	ncouver Centre			
2200 650 West Georgia Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Vancouver, V6B 4N8 CANADA		2178		
		DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		on No.	Applicant(s)	•		
		56	MAHAN ET AL.			
		r	Art Unit			
		J. Vaughn	2178			
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>31 <i>March 200</i></u>	<u>0</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2	b)⊠ This action is	s non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	Eveminer					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 March 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Patent</li> </ol>			r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	.•		
LS. Patent and Trademark Office		<del></del>				

**Art Unit: 2178** 

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This action is responsive to the application filing, Application filed on 3/31/2000.
- 2. Claims 1-32 are pending in the case, claims 1, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 27, 28, 29, and 30 are independent claims.

## Drawings

- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "34" has been used to designate both a presentation window in Figure 5 and a preview window in Figure 6. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description:
  - "to produce a display as shown generally at 33 in Figure 6" recited in line 8
     on page 7. Figure 6 does not include the reference sign.
  - "Referring to Figures 4 and 6, upon selection of the presentation window 33" recited on lines 8-9 on page 7. Neither figure includes the reference sign.

Application/Control Number: 09/540,756 Page 3

Art Unit: 2178

• "user selection portion shown generally at 40" recited in reference to Figure 4 on line 18 of page 7. Figure 4 does not include the reference sign.

- "Block 72 then directs the processor" recited in reference to Figure 9 on line 23 of page 9. Figure 9 does not include the reference sign.
- "content record 54" recited on line 29 of page 8 to line 1 of page 9 and on line 24 of page 9. None of the drawings contain the reference sign.
   Furthermore, the "content record" was previously referred to as reference sign "31".

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 5. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description:
  - "34" in Figure 5
  - "70" in Figure 9

A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2178

Specification

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The disclosure recites "shown generally at 33 in Figure 6" in line 8 of page 7,

but reference sign "33" is not shown in Figure 6.

The disclosure recites "Referring to figures 4 and 6, upon selection of the

presentation window 33" in line 9 of page 7, but reference sign "33" is not

shown in either figure.

• The disclosure recites "user selection portion shown generally at 40" in

reference to Figure 4 on line 18 of page 7. Figure 4 does not show the

reference.

The disclosure recites "content record 54" on line 29 of page 8 to line 1 of

page 9 and on line 24 of page 9. None of the drawings contain the reference

sign. Furthermore, the "content record" was previously referred to as

reference sign "31".

• The disclosure recites "Block 72 then directs the processor" in reference to

Figure 9 on line 23 of page 9. Figure 9 does not include the reference sign.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/540,756 Page 5

Art Unit: 2178

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

"A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States."
- 8. Claims 1-3, 6-19, and 22-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gill et al. US patent 6,281,262 (filed 12/4/1996, patented 6/27/2000). "Gill et al." is hereafter referred to as "Gill".
- 9. **In regard to independent claim 1**, the claim recites:
  - "A method of building a presentation, the method comprising:
  - a) receiving user input identifying multimedia content to be included in said presentation; and
  - b) copying multimedia content identified by said user-input from a multimedia source to memory, for access by a presentation application."

The first limitation of the claim is directed toward a user identifying multimedia content. Gill anticipates the limitation. Gill recites "the author defines a movie object MB into which is imported a movie, which is stored in memory, and obtained from one of the sources named above" (column 10, lines 11-13)

The second limitation of the claim is directed toward copying multimedia content.

Gill anticipates the claim. Gill recites "multi-media presentation generation system"

Art Unit: 2178

MPG comprises a page based document layout system Q which functions to define the basic workspace for the user into which the plurality of media objects are imported" (column 5, lines 23-27), (compare "copy" to "import").

# 10. In regard to dependent claim 2, the claim recites:

"The method claimed in claim 1 wherein copying comprises copying said multimedia content from an application while said application is using said multimedia content."

The claim is directed toward copying multimedia content while said multimedia content is in use by an application. Gill anticipates the limitation. Gill recites "Since the multi-media data is stored and processed by the page based document layout system Q in a transparent manner" (column 15, lines 49-51).

## 11. In regard to dependent claim 3, the claim recites:

"The method claimed in claim 1 further comprising associating an identifier with said multimedia content, for access by the presentation application."

The claim is directed toward associating an identifier to the multimedia content. Gill anticipates the claim. Gill recites "The multi-media authoring tool assigns a unique identification to each object that has multi-media information and that is located in the multi-media presentation" (column 4, lines 12-14).

# 12. **In regard to dependent claim 6**, the claim recites:

"The method claimed in claim I further comprising associating user definable notes with said multimedia content, for use by the presentation application."

Art Unit: 2178

The claim is directed toward a capability for the user to add notes. Gill anticipates the claim. Gill recites "The author must populate each of the individual frames with the selected video, graphical, and textual material" (column 1, lines 34-36).

13. **In regard to dependent claim 7**, the claim recites:

"The method claimed in claim 1 further comprising producing a multimedia content record accessible by the presentation application, said multimedia content record including a link to said multimedia content in said memory."

The claim is directed toward creating a content record that includes a link to the multimedia content. Gill anticipates the claim. Gill discloses a content record. Gill recites "for multi-media content, with the hidden information which defines the multimedia content" (column 7, lines 28-30). Gill discloses a link to the multimedia content. Gill recites "The author also defines a path PL ... The path PL represents a motion definition for a object having some content, which object is tied to the path" (column 10, lines 21-26).

- 14. **In regard to dependent claim 8**, the claim contains substantially the same subject matter as claims 3 and 7 combined, and is rejected with the same rational.
- 15. **In regard to dependent claim 9**, the claim contains substantially the same subject matter as claims 1 and 2 combined, and is rejected with the same rational.
- 16. **In regard to dependent claim 10**, the claim contains substantially the same subject matter as claims 1 and 2 combined, and is rejected with the same rational.

**Art Unit: 2178** 

17. In regard to independent claims 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 27, 28 29, and 30, the claims contains substantially the same subject matter as claim 1 and are rejected with the same rational.

### 18. **In regard to dependent claim 12**, the claim recites:

"The method claimed in claim 11 wherein presenting comprises directing a viewing application to said multimedia contact previously identified by the user."

The claim is directed toward a viewing application for the multimedia presentation. Gill anticipates the claim. Gill recites "the multi-media authoring tool A operates in conjunction with the page based document layout system Q to extend the menu based, static object manipulation capability of the page based document layout system Q to encompass dynamic multi-media objects. The dynamic objects each comprise viewable data which is presented pursuant to a set of parameters" (column 5, lines 52-58).

#### 19. **In regard to dependent claim 13**, the claim recites:

"The method claimed in claim 12 further comprising automatically directing said viewing application to said multimedia content previously identified by the user."

The claim is directed toward an automatically enabled viewing application for the multimedia presentation. Gill anticipates the claim. See the recitation for claim 12. Gill's viewer is enabled without user intervention, and is hence automatic.

20. **In regard to dependent claim 18**, the claim contains substantially the same subject matter as claim 2 and is rejected with the same rational.

Art Unit: 2178

21. **In regard to dependent claim 19**, the claim contains substantially the same subject matter as claim 3 and is rejected with the same rational.

- 22. **In regard to dependent claim 22**, the claim contains substantially the same subject matter as claim 6 and is rejected with the same rational.
- 23. **In regard to dependent claim 23**, the claim contains substantially the same subject matter as claim 7 and is rejected with the same rational.
- 24. **In regard to dependent claim 24,** the claim contains substantially the same subject matter as claim 8 and is rejected with the same rational.
- 25. **In regard to dependent claim 25**, the claim contains substantially the same subject matter as claim 9 and is rejected with the same rational.
- 26. **In regard to dependent claim 26**, the claim contains substantially the same subject matter as claim 10 and is rejected with the same rational.
- 27. **In regard to dependent claim 31**, the claim contains substantially the same subject matter as claim 12 and is rejected with the same rational.
- 28. **In regard to dependent claim 32**, the claim contains substantially the same subject matter as claim 13 and is rejected with the same rational.

Application/Control Number: 09/540,756 Page 10

Art Unit: 2178

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

29. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- "(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made."
- 30. Claim 4, 5, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gill in view of Fields et al. US Patent 6,128,655 (filed 7/10/1998, patented 10/3/2000). "Fields et al." is hereafter referred to as "Fields".

#### 31. In regard to dependent claim 4, the claim recites:

"The method claimed in claim 3 wherein associating an identifier comprises associating a uniform resource locator with said multimedia content."

The claim is directed toward using a uniform resource locator (URL) as an identifier. Gill discloses the use of an identifier for the multimedia content. Gill fails to disclose the use of URLs as identifiers. Fields teaches that a URL can be used as an identifier. Fields recites "In the Internet paradigm, a network path to a server is identified by a so-called Uniform Resource Locator (URL)" (column 1, lines 17-19).

Therefore, it would have been obvious, to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use URLs as identifiers to internet multimedia content because URLs uniquely identify all internet content.

# 32. In regard to dependent claim 5, the claim recites:

"The method claimed in claim 4 wherein associating an identifier comprises associating with said multimedia content a uniform resource locator identified by an application using said multimedia content."

The claim is directed toward using a uniform resource locator (URL) as an identifier while said multimedia content is in use by an application. The limitations of this claim contain substantially the same subject matter as claims 1 and 4 combined, and is rejected with the same rational.

- 33. **In regard to dependent claim 20**, the claim contains substantially the same subject matter as claim 4, and is rejected with the same rational.
- 34. **In regard to dependent claim 21**, the claim contains substantially the same subject matter as claim 5, and is rejected with the same rational.
- 35. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

•	Isle et al. Quentin et al. Allen et al. Ottesen et al. Escobar et al. Wissner Jennings Escobar et al. Milne et al. Moorby et al. Davis et al. Gibbons et al.	US Patent 4,931,950 US Patent 5,208,745 US Patent 5,473,744 US Patent 5,630,104 US Patent 5,659,793 US Patent 5,752,029 US Patent 5,781,186 US Patent 5,826,102 US Patent 5,848,291 US Patent 5,892,507 US Patent 5,969,716	Filed 7/25/1988 Filed 1/4/1990 Filed 7/6/1994 Filed 5/18/1995 Filed 12/22/1994 Filed 6/6/1995 Filed 2/2/1996 Filed 9/23/1996 Filed 9/15/1995 Filed 8/12/1996 Filed 8/6/1996 Filed 10/22/1997
•	Moorby et al.	US Patent 5,892,507	Filed 8/12/1996
•	Davis et al. Gibbons et al.	US Patent 5,969,716 US Patent 6,100,881	Filed 8/6/1996 Filed 10/22/1997
•	Petelycky et al. Davis et al. Milne et al.	US Patent 6,204,840 US Patent 6,243,087 US Patent 6,421,692	Filed 4/8/1998 Filed 9/28/1999 Filed 11/20/1998

Art Unit: 2178

Meier et al.

US Patent 6,415,303

Filed 6/6/1996

Page 12

Conclusion

36. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Gregory J. Vaughn whose telephone number is (703)

305-4672. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 8:00 am to

5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Heather R. Herndon can be reached at (703) 308-5186. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)

305-3900.

Gregory J. Vaughn October 17, 2003

PRIMARY EXAMINER